Appendix 2 Summary of changes

Topic	Comment	Changes made
Infrastructure	Substantial infrastructure needed first.	Infrastructure requirements and delivery trigggers set out within policy.
	East Cullompton will benefit from a 'Site Wide Phasing and Delivery Plan document'. The document	The SPD requires a site wide infrastructure delivery plan to be agreed, mechanisms for delivery to be
	should benefit from sufficient weight in the planning process, clearly outlining requirements and delivery	set out within this process.
	mechanisms, to ensure that the development achieves appropriate phasing in terms of housing, education	The infrastructure delivery section includes references to the need for external funding and some
	and employment.	additional text added for clarity.
	SPD text should reflect Local Plan that development will require some external funding.	·
Honiton Road	A373 is a major concern; major improvements needed.	The SPD requires careful consideration of the treatment of Honiton Road to provide a safe
	Outcomes from the Junction 28 mitigation option work need to inform the policy approach for the role	environment for people and to continue to function as an A road. Detailed design of Honiton Road to
	and function of Honiton Road. This includes decisions regarding priority and speed limits.	be included in the infrastructure delivery plan requirements.
	Honiton Road is an A road so downgrading this and reducing the speed limit are unlikely to be achievable.	The SPD references the need for further technical design and assessment of the approach to Honiton
	Crossings of this road are going to be important given this road passes through the middle of the	Road. Reference to a 20mph speed restriction removed but left emphasis on the need for design
	development but the strategic nature of the road cannot be lost.	solutions to slow speeds retained.
	20mph requirement goes beyond a principle and should refer to the aim/objective of safer streets. Key	'
	roads (Honiton Road) may need to be higher speeds – to be proven at application stage that principle is	
	met.	
J28	Improvements to the motorway junction should be carried out first; the allowance of 500 houses before	The SPD reflects existing policy requirements for improvements to J28.
	delivery of junction improvements now seems too high.	Text added to reflect requirement for TCRR.
	It should be clarified that the 'required after first 500 homes completed' is subject to the prior delivery of	Further work on J28 improvements and modelling of the capacity of the junction is being carried out
	the Town Centre Relief Road.	through separate workstreams.
	National Highways require confidence that a proposed scheme adequately mitigates the SRN impacts of	
	development at Cullompton and provides safe and suitable facilities to accommodate increased active	
Town Centre Relief Road	SPD should make it clear that the TCRR needs to be delivered first. Query whether the 'Delivered by 2023'	SPD to be updated to reflect delivery timescale.
	timetable is realistic	
Street hierarchy	Lack of any reference to the use of Saunders Way as part of the vehicular mobility strategy. The road	Masterplan amended to show link into Kingsmill.
	should connect into the main street of East Cullompton and that this should be referenced as an option in	Text added to clarify that streets could take a different alignment subject to preferred junction
	the SPD.	location.
	A new road is proposed to run south (and then west) from Honiton Road. important to recognise that the	
	masterplan is strategic in nature and does not intend this to define the precise location which will instead	
	be defined through planning outline and detailed applications.	
Sustainable transport	Sustainable transport options should be delivered early to promote step change in behaviour. J28	Infrastructure requirements and delivery trigggers set out within policy. The SPD requires a site wide
Sustainable transport	capacity improvements still required for car borne movements.	infrastructure delivery plan to be agreed.
Active travel	Pedestrian and cycle routes should have signage.	SPD to refer to the need for signage.
Active travel	Active travel improvements are funded by developer contributions/ linked to public funding projects –	Further work being carried out on sustainable travel through separate workstream.
	further thought is required regarding costs and likelihood of funding mechanism achieving delivery.	The SPD requires a site wide infrastruture delivery plan to be agreed.
	Reference to central, southern and northern active travel crossing of the M5, but with only 2 shown and	No change to SPD - mobility plan shows 3 crossings.
	'potential' future crossing to north part of CGV.	Approach to delivery of active travel crossings clarified. Infrastructure delivery table amended to
	Flexibility in timing of delivery of active travel connections across the M5 corridor is crucial given the	include delivery of active travel in early phases and in line with capacity improvements to J28.
	opportunity for limited release before M5 junction improvements combined with the need for these	Iniciate delivery of active traver in early phases and in line with capacity improvements to 320.
	junction improvements to facilitate active travel connection across the M5.	
	The footway connection along Honiton Road is not complete and some areas are on private land. The	
	whole length would need upgrading to a pedestrian/cycle footway and it is questionable whether this should be next to the busy A373 or whether a completely segregated route elsewhere should be provided	
	instead.	
Mobility hubs	Not clear on what the mobility hub is; not sure it will be successful in a rural community.	Additional toxt added to expand information on mobility bubs. Further week being serviced and an
Mobility hubs	inot clear on what the mobility hub is, not sure it will be successful in a rural community.	Additional text added to expand information on mobility hubs. Further work being carried out on
		mobility hubs through separate workstream.

Topic	Comment	Changes made
Primary school	Location not safe due to proximity to Honiton Road; school needs to be at the heart of the development.	SPD requires traffic calming and safe crossing points.
	The primary school is next to the main road. This is a dangerous area for young children. It will also cause more congestion at drop off and pick up times along an already over used road.	Text amended to include flexibility on location of primary school to north of Honiton Road and addition of requirement for safe school access and appropriate space for drop off away from primary streets.
	Whilst this SPD cannot change adopted Local Plan policy, we consider that the SPD should not repeat this	No change to SPD wording for delivery of school - policy requirement.
	requirement from the Local Plan for the school to be delivered in phases 1 and 2.	No change to 31 b wording for delivery of school policy requirement.
Healthcare	No allocation for doctor or dentist.	Text added to expand on potential uses within community hub and multi-use community building.
		MDDC in discussions with NHS.
20 minute neighbourhood	The SPD could be clearer in defining the 'twenty-minute place' approach to ensure meaningful	Commentary added about application of 20 min neighbourhood at local scale and context
Community hubs: location	achievement of this principle. Wrong location for community hub: too far east - assumption of further development to the east.	Text clarified to explain why Fordmore will be principal centre, and that it will expand to provide
Community hubs. location	Fordmore should not be the principal community hub.	broader range of uses including further retail and community space, that it will be accessible (via
	Not central to the allocation; does not deliver a 'heart' to the development.	primary street and active travel) and that it would have potential to expand further if proposals for the
	Encourages too much development along the Honiton Road.	GV proceed.
	Should be co-located with school and healthcare.	Honiton Road requires active frontages in order to reduce the speed limit.
		SPD to include flexibilty on school location, however, current location provides safe walking to
		community hub.
Community hubs: uses	Small community hubs do not provide required facilities for the number of houses.	SPD to provide further explanation on what community hubs should contain.
	Need for an indoor meeting/activity space to cater for a range of activities.	Reference to community buildings to include catering for a range of indoor sports and activities and
	Potential for one of the designated 'play areas' to be focussed at teenagers and explore whether there is	potential for a health hub.
	demand for skate park, free-running/parkour equipment.	Specific reference to teenage play to be included.
	SPD not clear whether residential can be included in community hubs - green box on page 87 refers to	SPD changed include allowance for some residential where it does not detract from intended character
	retirement services but not clear if this means retirement living.	or limit community hub functions.
	Community hubs should exclude green space. Fordmore north and south would decrease to 2ha in tune with local plan requirement for local centre.	No change proposed to exclude green space. Some public / open space to be delivered as part of bespoke community hub typology. Larger area also required to allow residential uses and some land
	with local plantequirement for local centre.	covered by existing uses.
		Requirement added for clear governance to be set out in developer proposals.
Commercial uses	Fordmore Farm will not be able to provide larger retail / supermarket that is needed. Suggest a large	SPD includes retail within the commercial area as well as within the community hubs, and sets out
	supermarket, a new food pub, other retail to make East Cullompton a destination.	appropriate uses for commercial areas. Text changed from 'integrating employment' to 'integrating
	Size of industrial units and type of industry needs to be carefully considered - shortfall of micro and small	commercial' – text already refers to appropriately scaled retail being provided in this area.
	units making start-up business difficult.	SPD updated to reflect consented development.
	SPD does not reflect the consented development at Fordmore Farm (21/00349/FULL) (Class E	No change to primary route through commercial area which should be designed to be attractive – not
	development, agricultural style warehouse type buildings).	industrial area.
	Commercial area in right place, strong east-west cycle route good. Less understood is primary road through commercial area (not attractive gateway).	Further explanation on commercial land calculation provided.
	Not clear how amount of land to meet 32ksqm employment floorspace has been calculated.	
	Interaction between commercial areas and mixed use community hub is not clear with reference that	
	these have overlapping uses. It is unclear whether these built forms include curtilage areas, such as	
	parking. The total land budget from these inputs does not meet 160ha.	
Sports facilities	Not clear where sports facilities are going to be within the allocation. 3G sports pitch needed in	Text amended to confirm size of space at Fordmore Farm is sufficient to provide a multi-pitch hub
	Cullompton area. In order to provide a flexible, sustainable site which could accommodate a variety of	rather than individual pitches but final location of sports pitches to be confirmed.
	pitch sizes and sports, a site of four hectares is recommended, which includes provision of a	SPD to make specific reference to sports being provided in accordance with MDDC's Playing Pitch
	clubhouse/changing facilities.	Strategy.
		3G pitch is not a specific policy requirement but is required in the Cullompton area by the Playing Pitch
		Strategy.

Topic	Comment	Changes made
Green space	Not enough and all at the edges - larger green space needed on Cullompton side. Better dog walking parks. Feather into the edges of the development; more trees and hedges included; include allotments. Keep element of flat multi-use informal space outside pitch layouts to encourage 'free-play' for children & families. Unclear which areas will have the space to function as parkland and nature reserve rather than just as functional travel corridors. It would be useful if the SPD could include a map to show the preferred locations for the 6ha of amenity green space; the public parkland; and the nature reserve. Eastern Loop would act principally as a leisure route providing indirect routes in place of active travel	Text expanded on green space uses, including allotments and what can be included within the community greens and green corridors. Community growing space such as orchards in community greens and traditional allotment sites provided separately within strategic GI.
	desire lines. The interaction with the countryside would be inherently limited by the predominant definition of the allocation edged by hedgerow boundaries.	
Fords	Fords should not be prioritised. Implications for flows and water quality.	Text amended but aspiration for fords as crossings to remain to provide place making connections with water.
Climate change	Houses should be net zero with renewable energy. Climate change adaptation could be addressed more explicitly in the sub-section on Natural Capital. Further emphasis should be put on the phrase 'reduce carbon emissions'. The NPPF uses the phrase 'contribute to radical reductions in greenhouse gas emissions'. Strong digital connectivity should be included in the table as a Substitute Approach to achieve reduced carbon emissions.	SPD sets out net zero/renewable energy expectations from developers within existing policy framework. Natural Capital section expanded to add requirements under 'enhancing the landscape structure' for planting to demonstrate climate resilience and biosecurity. Change to carbon emissions and digital connectivity text made.
Air quality	Although air quality assessments are required, they will need to be monitored at regular intervals through the construction phases and afterwards.	Change to text made.
Density	Flexibility should be afforded to residential densities towards the edges of the community.	Density expressed is an average. Text amended to reflect treatment of rural edges.
Drainage	Permeable paving could be used as well as rain gardens, swale, filter trenches.	Permeable paving added to text.
Residential	Affordable homes should be a mixture of rents and purchase options. Other housing options should be considered such as co-living accommodation blocks and adaptable homes. Wheelchair accessible homes should be included within the housing designs to be fully inclusive and giving options for a range of clients. The design of homes needs to consider the pavement space and access to homes for people with mobility vehicles. The requirement for front gardens and bike storage overly prescriptive. Storage can be provided in back gardens and not all houses need a front garden.	
Self build	Space should be allocated to self build.	This is a policy requirement and is set out in the SPD. Additional text added on custom and self-build added.
Parking	Less emphasis on 'strategic provision' for parking as a preferred strategy to enable flexibility in approach as applications progress and the delivery of electric vehicle charging.	No change. The SPD provides for flexiblity.
Waste	Could be expanded to ensure waste is minimised and considered during the design and layout of a development. Include reference to Devon Waste Plan.	Changes made.
Power lines	Concerns raised regarding the potential implications of the draft masterplan for National Grid's strategically important 132kV line. National Grid do not support undergrounding. A 10m wide corridor of open ground would be required above the undergrounded cables. Moving power lines is at the landowners' expense. Suggest wording: "The land over which the lines pass within the site benefits from 'lift and shift' clause over the route easement. This enables the landowner (at their cost) to alter the path of the power lines if an alternative route is available". 16ha affected by the 440kv powerlines does not have a note to accompany this figure - could usefully explain that this area enables another 16ha of green infrastructure. Reasonable to advise that some commercial could come forward in the 440kv powerline corridor.	SPD refers to aspiration for undergrounding but further work needed. SPD to be updated to reflect 10m corridor. Wording added that where powerlines are undergrounded, they do not have to follow the existing overhead line which could allow better accommodation of easements. Wording on lift and shift clause amended. Note regarding the 16ha area and that commercial could be appropriate as mix of uses if included sensitively.

Торіс	Comment	Changes made
Heritage	Settings of heritage assets on maps indicate risk of harm but further work needed; no robust evidence base and justification for, or mitigation of, any harm to the heritage assets, or their settings. Archaeological investigation will need to be used to identify opportunities to positively influence the detail of design/layout as planning applications come forward, as well as to inform mitigation for the loss of any undesignated archaeological assets. Grade I listed Wood Barton should be shown as a significant listed building.	Text changes made to archaeological assessment. Wood Barton already shown but annotation added.
Biodiversity net gain	It is disappointing to note that the SPD is seeking offsite delivery of BNG, as onsite delivery is Natural England's preferred approach. Text should reference country park is not a local plan requirement. SPD oversteps in referring to BNG being delivered adjacent to boundary and must achieve landscape enhancement.	SPD changed to reflect onsite delivery first. Wording changed so that the off-site BNG can be delivered in suitable areas that provide accessible greenspace for the allocation as well as adjacent to the allocation boundary.
Country Park	Not clear what this is or when it is likely to be delivered; need for a water park not established.	Text changed to expand the explanation of the country park. This is outside the area for the East Cullompton SPD but could start to be delivered through off-site biodiversity net gain and sports facilities.
Proximity to Kentisbeare/need for a green buffer	Wider garden village section shows development encroaching within Kentisbeare Parish.	No change to SPD. SPD is clear that the plans in Section 6 are indicative and the wider garden village is subject to the Local Plan process. Comments on Section 6 to be reported to policy team.
Sports clubs and all-through school	Sports facilities and all-through school shown along Horn Road should be in Cullompton and not in Kentisbeare Parish.	No change to SPD. Cricket club already has planning permission. This area is outside the East Cullompton SPD area and plans in Section 6 are indicative.
Potential wider area for garden village	Area shown for wider garden village includes listed buildings and areas of wildlife importance.	No change to SPD. SPD is clear that the plans in Section 6 are indicative and the wider garden village is subject to the Local Plan process. Comments on Section 6 to be reported to policy team.
Two-stage masterplanning process	The two-stage nature of the process: detailed approval of the allocation and an outline of thoughts about the longer term possibilities. One consequence is that facilities that are only required for a larger garden village may well be located outside the central area. More detailed planning should be undertaken before the East Cullompton Masterplan is signed off.	No change to SPD. The SPD can only focus on allocated land and unless/until further land is allocated, boundaries are not known and the whole area cannot be masterplanned.
Green boxes within SPD	These would benefit from either greater flexibility or a two tier structure for broader 'objectives/aims' and more tightly defined 'requirements'.	Text added to allow appropriate flexibility.
Process	Process diagram not clear on where Design Code and Phasing and Infrastructure Delivery Plan fit within the planning process. Approach to these documents needs further consideration and clarification.	Diagram updated and approach clarified. Both documents will need to be agreed with landowners / developers.
Design code	Further consideration needed on what a strategic design code should contain and how it works through the planning process. Appendix 1 needs further consideration. Design code not the right place to consider site-wide SUDS. Needs further technical work through planning application process.	Further work needed with landowners/developers to scope out strategic design code. Reference in SPD text changed and Appendix 1 removed. Site wide SUDS strategy removed. Strategic drainage requirements are incorporated into SPD, although treatment of SUDS retained within Design Code requirements. Further work needed for planning applications.
Corrections	References should be to National Highways not Highways England. On Page 109, Junction 28 rather than Junction 30. Clearer if 'profound change' bullet points retitled as 'profound change, evolving public policy and guidance'. Land outside allocation to east of Fordmore shown on all section 5 plans should be removed. 'East Cullompton Activity Framework' page 84. The key does not identify what is meant specifically by the thicker and thinner black lines. Key to community greens on plans is not clear.	Changes made
Evidence base	SPD should list background reports that it relies on.	No change. Unnecessary as these are published on the website as available.